MYELOMA MANAGEMENT GUIDELINES

A CONSENSUS REPORT FROM THE SCIENTIFIC ADVISORS OF THE INTERNATIONAL MYELOMA FOUNDATION

Brian G.M. Durie, Robert Kyle, Andrew Belch, William Bensinger, Joan Blade, Mario Boccadoro, J. Anthony Child, Raymond Comenzo, Dorotea Fantl, Gosta Gahrton, Jean Luc Harousseau, Vania Hungria, Douglas Joshua, Heinz Ludwig, Jayesh Mehta, Angelina Morales, Gareth Morgan, Amara Nouel, Martin Oken, Raymond Powles, David Roodman, Jesus San Miguel, Kazuyuki Shimizu, Seema Singhal, Bhawna Sirohi, Pieter Sonneveld, Guido Tricot, Brian Van Ness, Ben Djulbegovic.

Corresponding Author:

Brian G.M. Durie bdurie@salick.com T: 323-966-3572

F: 323-966-3685

Running title: MYELOMA MANAGEMENT GUIDELINES

Consultants & Others Present: Philip Greipp, Robert Knight, Sean Maenpaa, Susie Novis, Lisa Paik, Michael Rosenzweig, Iain Webb.

Abstract

These consensus guidelines have been compiled with input from the Scientific Advisors of the International Myeloma Foundation. Their production involved several steps including:

- ➤ A 3-day Scientific Advisors meeting, during which each specific area was presented and discussed (May 2002)
- ➤ Review of key literature, especially randomized study results, but also Medline, Internet, prior guidelines (e.g., U.K. Myeloma Forum [1]) and Cochrane Database searches
- > Feedback from patients participating in the International Myeloma Foundation, patient programs

These guidelines encompass both the published literature and expert opinions. Recommendations based upon expert opinions are identified as such. The intent is for the guidelines to be international in scope, plus provide recommendations for both **clinical practice** and **research approaches**.

"Consensus" reflects general, although not necessarily unanimous, agreement. Details are discussed as appropriate. For convenience, the recommendations are divided into:

- 1. Diagnostic criteria
- 2. Staging and prognostic factors
- 3. Frontline therapy
- 4. High-Dose therapy and transplant
- 5. Maintenance therapy
- 6. Supportive care and management of specific complications
- 7. Novel therapies and new technologies

1. Diagnostic criteria

- Up to the present time, the diagnostic criteria for multiple myeloma and related conditions have not been standardized.
- Several definitions for multiple myeloma are in general use, including the Durie/Salmon criteria (2), the Kyle/Greipp criteria (3,4) and several others (5). In one comparative study as many as 36% of patients were classified differently depending upon the system used (6).
- It is therefore critically important to establish broadly accepted criteria.
- The International Myeloma Foundation brought together the International Working Group to develop criteria for the classification of monoclonal gammopathies. These criteria are currently being published (7).

The following is a summary of the criteria which are also detailed in Tables (1-8).

➤ Multiple Myeloma (Table 1). The term multiple myeloma is considered to be synonymous with myeloma, plasma cell myeloma, active and symptomatic myeloma. The intent is to positively identify patients with active or symptomatic myeloma requiring systemic therapy. Conversely, the intent is to exclude patients with MGUS (Table 2) and smoldering or indolent myeloma (Table 3). After much discussion, the review group decided to use evidence of "myeloma-related organ dysfunction" as the defining element for the classification of multiple myeloma. In Table 1, the four major areas of dysfunction are: [C], CALCIUM ELEVATION; [R], RENAL INSUFFICIENCY; [A], ANEMIA; and [B], BONE ABNORMALITIES (LYTIC or OSTEOPENIC). This leads to the acronym CRAB, which is felt to be helpful for descriptive purposes.

It is helpful to consider the relationships between MULTIPLE MYELOMA (Table 1) as now defined, and prior criteria. Multiple myeloma as now defined is: STAGES IB plus II and III A plus B in the DURIE/SALMON system (Table 6), i.e. STAGE IA is excluded and becomes smoldering or indolent myeloma (Table 3). Monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance (MGUS) stays the same. A way to bring all these together is discussed as part of the new "DURIE/SALMON PLUS" staging system, which incorporates new imaging techniques (Table 7).

As in the past, it is important to emphasize that the "myeloma-related organ dysfunction" or **CRAB** features must be myeloma related. This may require biopsy and/or other specialized testing.

- ➤ MGUS (Monoclonal Gammopathy of Undetermined Significance: Table 2). The nature of MGUS is well known (8). The criteria outlined in Table 2 are the same as those used widely in the past. Alternate acronyms were considered by the group, including MG (Monoclonal Gammopathy), PMG (Primary Monoclonal Gammopathy) and UMG (Unassociated Monoclonal Gammopathy). In the absence of a discrete molecular classification it was elected to retain the MGUS acronym. It was noted that several additional tests frequently support a diagnosis of MGUS, including a low bone marrow plasma cell labeling index (0% or < 0.2%) or negative Ki-67 monoclonal antibody staining. There should be no clinical or laboratory features of amyloidosis or systemic light chain deposition disease. Whole body FDG/PET imaging is negative in MGUS (Table 7).
- > Smoldering (9) or Indolent Myeloma (Table 3 and Stage IA [Table 6]). This intermediate category between MGUS and MULTIPLE MYELOMA, as now defined, is STAGE IA MYELOMA in the Durie/Salmon system (Table 6). As noted in Table 3, such patients can have mild degrees of "myeloma-

related organ dysfunction" including anemia and elevated serum creatinine. Such patients can on occasion be eligible for supportive care measures such as erythropoietin and/or bisphosphonate and/or other therapies. For example, some patients with an isolated plasmacytoma fall into this category. Note was made of the fact that as for MGUS, additional testing may indicate a greater or lesser likelihood of stability. Abnormalities on MRI and/or FDG/PET imaging indicate increased risk of early disease progression.

- ➤ Solitary plasmacytoma of Bone (Table 4). Patients with early stage myeloma must also be distinguished from those with an isolated or solitary plasmacytoma. Imaging must reveal only a single lesion wich is a biopsy proven plasmacytoma. Routine bone marrow biopsy is normal (<10% plasma cells) and there is no organ dysfunction. If the bone marrow contains ≥30% monoclonal plasma cells, then the diagnosis is multiple myeloma (Table 1). If the bone marrow contains ≥ 10% < 30% monoclonal plasma cells, then the diagnosis is smoldering or indolent (Stage IA) myeloma (Table 3).
- ➤ Other criteria, which do not directly impact the definition of myeloma, are published in the separate manuscript (4). Both extramedullary plasmacytomata and plasma cell leukemia can occur as manifestations of multiple myeloma as well as independent disease states. Coexistence of extramedullary disease and/or plasma cell leukemia with multiple myeloma confers poor risk, as noted in Table 7.

Required Testing at Diagnosis

Most of the recommended tests for the diagnosis of myeloma and related conditions are well established and widely accepted. Table 4 summarizes the testing recommended as part of these guidelines. **Points of emphasis and discussion were:**

a. M-component measurement. M-component measurement using serum protein electrophoresis (SPEP) and/or urine protein electrophoresis (UPEP) is preferred. However, nephelometric measurements are acceptable and for some patients, for example with multimeric or aggregated M-component, particularly IgA in type, this can be preferred and more reproducible. At lower levels of serum Mcomponent, nephelometry is essential. If no M-component is detected, the Freelite test can be utilized to measure the levels of free kappa/lambda light chains and the ratio (10,11). This new ultrasensitive technique for free light chain analysis can provide a quantifiable marker in approximately 70% of patients with nonsecretory disease and/or minimal residual disease. The new serum "Freelite" test is also positive in the majority of patients with detectable urine monoclonal protein. Although serum Freelite measurements can be used for serial monitoring, urine protein measurement for light chain analysis is still recommended. Periodic 24-hour urine collection is still required, for example, to quantify urine protein levels. The amounts of both Bence Jones light chains urine albumin are important. Increased levels of albumin can have several causes including the development of systemic amyloidosis and/or light chain deposition disease or bisphosphonate toxicity.

b. Diagnostic Imaging

- ➤ Standard radiologic skeletal survey is the "gold standard" for baseline evaluation (12). Targeted x-ray of specific areas (e.g., rib series) can be additionally helpful.
- ➤ Computed tomography can be helpful for evaluation of localized areas of concern (13). Areas of critical bone destruction can be clearly delineated to facilitate radiation therapy and/or surgical intervention.
- ➤ Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) with T1/T2 settings plus STIR sequences and use of gadolinium for enhancement is now widely used (14). MRI is helpful to assess the bulk of disease as well as a variety of clinical problems including bone pain, cord compression, osteopenia, or uncertain staging. In patients with multiple myeloma, the number and size of lesions on MRI correlate with prognosis. In patients with asymptomatic or smoldering myeloma, MRI findings correlate with likelihood of transition to multiple myeloma (see Staging and Prognostic Factors).
- ➤ Whole body FDG/PET imaging can be useful to clarify disease classification (e.g., completely negative in MGUS) or prognostic category (e.g., demonstration of extra-medullary disease and/or assessment of elevated LDH) (16). With wider use, it may become a recommended technology. FDG/PET imaging can substitute for MRI if this is not done or not available for staging, restaging, and/or serial monitoring.

Summary assessment of imaging

- ➤ There have been major advances in imaging technology in recent years.
- Although the new technologies are not mandated, the benefits of anatomic and functional staging with MRI and FDG/PET where and/or when available cannot be overemphasized. Staging and treatment can potentially change in 15-25% of patients. This leads to consideration of new staging systems as discussed below.

c. Cytogenetic and molecular analysis

There was considerable discussion about the role of cytogenetic and molecular studies for routine prognostic classification. Numerous correlations exist between chromosome abnormalities and prognosis or different patterns of disease. Chromosome 13 deletion is widely recognized as a poor prognostic factor (17,18). However, there is no unanimity nor consensus as to whether or not cytogenetic testing is a mandatory baseline procedure. This is both because standardized bone marrow cytogenetic testing is not universally available and because

management of patients with chromosome 13 deletions and/or other abnormalities is not clearly delineated. No specific alternate therapy is routinely recommended for patients with abnormal chromosomes. Further details are discussed under relapsing disease, research approaches, and clinical trials.

Summary assessment of genetics

- ➤ Baseline cytogenetic studies are recommended as a routine in clinical trials, whenever feasible. In clinical practice, availability of cytogenetic information is also helpful to guide potential treatment selection and future trial eligibility.
- ➤ It is likely that a new molecular classification for plasma cell disorders will be feasible in the near future. The precise molecular markers and the technologies required for testing for them remain to be determined.

2. Staging and Prognostic Factors

- The Durie/Salmon Staging System (19) is widely used (Table 6).
- New imaging technologies such as MRI and FDG/PET have made anatomic and functional staging much more precise (16,20). It is possible to integrate these new technologies into the Durie/Salmon system (19) to create, for example, the Durie/Salmon PLUS system (Table 7). This new system includes MRI and/or FDG/PET imaging plus risk factors in addition to serum creatinine (< 2 mg/DL; ≥ 2 mg/DL) to subclassify as A or B).
- Several staging and prognosite factor systems have been evaluated to identify a simple prognostic classification system. A reappraisal in 1986 identified serum \$\mathbb{G}_2\$ microglobulin and serum albumin as a useful combination for survival prediction (21). The South West Oncology Group (SWOG) recently developed a new staging system (Table 8) using these 2 parameters (22).
- Several groups have attempted to incorporate genetic information into a new prognostic system (17,18). The French (IFM) group used **chromosome 13 deletion** by FISH (fluorescent in site hybridization) combined with serum β₂ microglobulin to create a new system (18).
- New International Prognostic Index (IPI). Under the auspices of the International Myeloma Foundation (IMF), data have been gathered on 11,179 patients from 17 institutions around the world, including the U.S., Europe and Asia (23). The prognosis for patients receiving both conventional dose and high dose therapy are being assessed. The most promising IPI staging system is a combination of serum β₂ microglobulin and serum albumin very similar to the SWOG system (Table 8). The general range of prognostic factors being considered is listed in Table 9.
- The IPI group is also identifying patients with particularly poor (median survival 12-24 months) versus very good survival (median survival > 5 years). Risk factors associated with poor survival are elevated serum creatinine, low platelet count, poor performance status, age > 65 years, and elevated LDH values if available. Conversely, survival of > 5 years is associated with absence of these factors, as well as absence of chromosome 13 deletion by cytogenetic analysis and/or absence of complex chromosome abnormalities (23).

Recommendations

- ➤ Use of the **Durie/Salmon staging system** is still valid (Table 6). However, if additional imaging is performed, the **Durie/Salmon PLUS system** can be considered (Table 7) for more precise anatomic/functional staging.
- The IPI system incorporating serum β_2 microglobulin and serum albumin (Table 8) is now being introduced and can be considered as a new or alternate option, especially for multi-institutional study analyses.
- Additional risk group classification can be helpful, especially if new therapies are being considered or evaluated. Age is particularly important, as well as elevated serum creatinine and/or LDH, and low platelet count.
- > Cytogenetic and molecular data can hopefully be used in the future for more precise staging and prognostic classification.

3. Frontline therapy: Management of Symptomatic Multiple Myeloma

Exclusion of patients with MGUS and smoldering/indolent myeloma. The first consideration is to identify the subset of patients with asymptomatic or minimally symptomatic disease who can receive supportive care measures alone as a first approach. Note is made of the fact that randomized studies (24,25) have failed to demonstrate any added benefit with immediate systemic chemotherapy (with for example, melphalan/prednisone), in patients with what has been called smoldering (now asymptomatic) myeloma. Therefore, use of erythropoietin and/or bisphosphonates can be considered. However, it is also noted that the ASCO Guidelines on bispohosphonate use (26) do not recommend routine use in this setting.

Conventional therapy for multiple myeloma: overview

- ▶ Melphalan was first used as treatment for multiple myeloma in 1958 (27). Intermittent high dose prednisone was subsequently shown to be effective in patients with myeloma refratory to alkylating agents in 1967 (28). In 1969 a study of 183 patients not previously treated with an alkylating agent produced a response rate of 70% of evaluable patients with "pulse" or intermittent melphalan (M) plus prednisone (P) versus 35% receiving intermittent melphalan alone or daily melphalan 19% (29). Six of 14 patients (43%) unresponsive to daily melphalan and 3/15 (20%) unresponsive to intermittent melphalan responded to the intermittent M/P combination. Prednisone therefore enhanced melphalan efficacy. The median survivals in this study ranged from 11-35 months with a median of approximately 24 months in the intermittent M/P arm. These studies set the stage for use of MP as a frontline therapy for multiple myeloma.
- ➤ In the 30 years since these investigations, numerous alkylating agent combination studies have been performed. In 1998 the Myeloma Trialists'

Collaborative Group evaluated 6,633 patients from 27 randomized trials comparing combination chemotherapy with melphalan plus prednisone (30). Although the median overall response rate was 60% for the various combination schedules versus 53.2% for M/P (P < .00001) there were no significant differences in overall survival. The median survival for both groups was 29 months.

- ➤ These meta-analysis results are consistent with both large center and cooperative group trials during the same time frame. For example, in the Southwest Oncology Group (SWOG) the median survivals over many protocols have remained similar at approximately 33-34 months (20).
- ➤ Response has proved to be particularly difficult to evaluate and compare between studies. In general, the ≥ 50% reduction in monoclonal protein level cutoff combined with other laboratory and clinical evidence of response has served as the indicator of "response." Response duration is the most consistent indicator of subsequent survival (30).
- ➤ In recent SWOG analyses evaluating all types of conventional chemotherapy, time to progression was the most reliable indicator of treatment benefit and overall survival (31). Higher levels of response (e.g. >75% regression; complete response or "true complete response") do not in themselves predict better survival. If a particular level of response is sustained for > 6-12 months, there is a trend towards better survival proportional to the magnitude of regression. This 6-12 month "guarantee time" must be considered in evaluating treatment outcome (32).

Summary assessment

- > Despite wide variations in response rates, all conventional therapies used thus far have produced equivalent survival outcome (30).
- > The utility of a particular therapy in preparation for stem cell harvesting and transplantation is now a critical consideration.
- ➤ The major determinants of outcome with conventional therapies are the stage and intrinsic biology of the myeloma in individual patients at the start of treatment.
- ➤ The tolerance and toxicities with different therapies have become especially important in treatment decision-making.
- ➤ The time needed to achieve remission and associated side effects are also very important considerations.

Initial Chemotherapy for Multiple Myeloma

➤ The general approach to frontline therapy is summarized in **Figure 1**.

- ➤ The frontline therapy recommendations for myeloma are currently in a state of flux (33). Many widely used therapies have little or no randomized trial support. Several new therapies have no long-term follow-up data available.
- There are 6 major options. The pros and cons of each are summarized in Table 9. The following reflects discussions and assessments of published data.

Melphalan (Alkeran) plus Prednisone

As summarized above, mephalan, and then melphalan plus prednisone have been used since the 1960s (34). There are only limited data to support the addition of prednisone to melphalan (Alkeran) (29). Cyclophosphomide (Cytoxan) was used for the initial comparison of an alkylating agent versus controls (35). Cytoxan versus melphalan gave equivalent results in two U.K. MRC studies (36,37). Different dosages and schedules of alkylating agents have been used over the years. This makes it difficult to compare relative efficacies (30). Melphalan has tended to be preferred because of tolerance in an elderly myeloma population. Melphalan plus prednisone has not been directly compared to melphalan plus dexamethasone. More complex combinations of standard agents have proven overall to give equivalent results to melphalan and/or prednisone (30). Some possible exceptions involving ABCM (UK/MRC protocol) (38) and the M2 protocol (Sloan Kettering) and ECOG protocols (39) are discussed below:

Recommendations

- Melphalan/prednisone remains a valid option, especially for elderly patients.
- Melphalan is not recommended if stem cell harvesting is planned.

Cytoxan with or without Prednisone

- ➤ Although less popular, Cytoxan is a valid option alone or with prednisone, just as is melphalan.
- ➤ Use of Cytoxan has the advantage that it produces less stem cell injury than melphalan.
- ➤ Cytoxan can be used with or after VAD or dexamethasone, especially if response has not been achieved. CVAD or "hyper CVAD" has been used by some groups (40), especially in high-risk settings, such as plasma cell leukemia or in patients with poor risk features (e.g., extramedullary disease and/or elevated LDH).

More Complex Alkylating Agent Combinations

- > Several combinations are widely used (30).
- ➤ In the U.K. the ABCM regime is often preferred because of superiority over melphalan in the MRC study. **However**, in a cross trial comparison, ABCM and VMCP/VBAP produced equivalent results (41). Since, as discussed below, VMCP/VBAP produces only questionable benefit over MP, the added benefit of complex combinations is tenuous at best.
- ➤ In the U.S., the VMCP/VBAP protocol is sometimes used because of superiority in a SWOG study. However, a later, large Italian study failed to show added benefit.

➤ In the U.S. the M2 Protocol (VBCMP) is also sometimes preferred because of survival superiority shown in an ECOG study (39).

Overall Recommendations

- Combinations provide little added benefit.
- Combinations result in added toxicity, inconvenience, and expense.
- Combinations will typically preclude or impair subsequent stem cell harvesting.

VAD

- ➤ Since VAD was first introduced (42), it has become the most widely used choice for frontline therapy.
- A main reason for the widespread use is the 60-70% response rate. The primary goal is to achieve the maximum response in the largest percentage of patients as rapidly as possible as a basis for early harvesting and transplant. With the increased popularity of stem cell transplantation, VAD became an ideal initial cytoreduction strategy prior to stem cell harvest.
- ➤ However, in recent years the popularity has been substantially tempered by the inconvenience, toxicity, and potential for medical complications.
- ➤ The need for a central catheter and four-day infusion is inconvenient, expensive, and can lead to complications such as infection and coagulation problems. Vincristine adds potential neurotoxicity without major added benefit. The Adriamycin infusion adds hair loss and potential cardiotoxicity with perhaps only 10-15% additional benefit in terms of likelihood of response. Since the exact magnitude of response does not critically impact either the ability to harvest or the ultimate outcome from subsequent high-dose therapy, the role of Adriamycin has come into question. Alternatives to Adriamycin include Doxil®, idarubicin, and mitoxantrone (see Table 10).

Recommendations:

- VAD is an acceptable and well studied frontline option.
- However, the disadvantages outlined above are significant.
- Several variations on VAD are in use and are also acceptable alternatives (See Table 10).
- It is valid to consider other options as discussed below.

Pulse Dexamethasone Alone

- Although pulse dexamethasone is widely used both at relapse as well as frontline, limited trial data are available (43,44).
- ➤ Using the 40mg/day (or 20mg/M2/day), 4 day on, 4 day off schedule, the upfront response rate is high: 40-50% or perhaps higher. Therapy can be intensified and/or changed as necessary to achieve response sufficient for harvesting or clinical remission.
- ➤ This level of response is acceptable as a first approach.
- ➤ The toxicity with intensive pulse dexamethasone is the primary concern. Limiting toxicities range from dramatic mood swings, loss of sleep, irritability and/or acute attention deficits, to fluid and weight retention, 2°

- diabetes mellitus, gastro/esophageal problems, infection susceptibility, proximal muscle weakness, visual impairments including cataracts, and skin/blood vessel fragility enhancement.
- Less intensive and alternate steroid (e.g., prednisone or methylprednisolone) (44) schedules are used by many physicians, but without any support from randomized clinical trial data (see Table 10). Nonetheless results with VAD and VAMP are equivalent. Methylprednisolone appears to have lesser toxicity.
- ➤ Provided sufficient response occurs, there is no difference either in stem cell collection or engraftment after pulse dexamethasone alone versus VAD.

Recommendations

- Pulse dexamethasone (or equivalent steroid therapy) alone is an acceptable primary induction for newly diagnosed myeloma.
- Dose reduction/modifications may be required because of toxicities. The impact of dose, drug, and/or schedule modifications upon outcomes are not known. The standard dosages and potential modifications are summarized in Table 10.

Thalidomide plus Dexamethasone

- The details of thalidomide therapy are summarized under relapse management (45,46,47).
- ▶ Because of the success in the relapse setting, several groups have introduced thalidomide in a frontline setting (48,49). A Mayo Clinic study combining pulse dexamethasone with thalidomide produced a response rate of 64%. This is a response sufficient to proceed with stem cell harvesting. Since this is very similar to that achievable with VAD, and because of the disadvantages of VAD summarize above, the thalidomide/dexamethasone combination has rapidly emerged as an acceptable frontline option. Many studies are ongoing. No large trial datasets are available. Several issues are unresolved including: thalidomide dose, dexamethasone dose and schedule, and concomitant supportive care/treatment/medications, such as prophylactic anticoagulation. Currently 200 mg of thalidomide a day is recommended, although lower doses such as 50-100 mg may be equally effective and less toxic.

Recommendations

- Thalidomide/dexamethasone can be considered as a frontline treatment option.
- Since the Mayo Clinic study incorporated stem cell harvesting and subsequent high-dose therapy, this is a reasonable treatment setting for prior thalidomide/dexamethasone.

- However, much more data and follow-up are required to answer many questions about efficacy, toxicity, time to first progression, and overall outcome.
- Outside of clinical trials, Thalidomide is only available through the S.T.E.P.S. (Celgene) program or similar monitoring procedure depending upon the country and source(s) of drug.

Management when frontline therapy fails to produce an adequate response:

With a plan to proceed to stem cell transplant

- ➤ **Proceed directly with transplant.** Provided acute clinical problems have been resolved, it is usually possible to proceed with stem cell harvesting and transplant even if < 50% regression has been achieved (50). Fortunately, the percentage of regression pretransplant does not predict for the outcome post transplant.
- ➤ Alternate therapy pre-harvesting and transplant

 If it is felt that additional cytoreduction is required before proceeding to harvest stem cells, there are several options (51):

1 st Therapy*	Secondary Options*
DEX Alone	VAD
Full VAD	I.V. Cytoxan alone or with VAD
	(CVAD or "hyper" CVAD)
Cytoxan	DEX or full VAD
Any of the above	Thalidomide/DEX or BLT-D (three drug: Biaxin/Low
	dose Thalidomide/DEX protocol)
Any of the above	EDAP, DCEP or DT-PACE

➤ The details of the DCEP, DT-PACE and BLT-D regimens are summarized in Table 13.

> Harvesting

High-dose Cytoxan alone or with VP-16 (Etoposide) plus G-or GM-CSF is typically used for stem cell harvesting. Thus, some degree of cytoreduction is frequently part of the harvesting process.

When there is no plan for stem cell harvesting or transplant

In this situation, the main difference is that melphalan plus prednisone can be an option if VAD and/or dexamethasone have failed. Also, intravenous melphalan in attenuated doses, < 100mg/M², can be considered. Melphalan-containing combinations such as ABCM and the M2 protocol are also potential options.

• The other options listed above can also be considered.

When there is persistent primary resistant or progressive disease

• If standard options listed above have failed, and/or are not feasible or selected for some reason, research options are available. Clinical trials are discussed later.

4. High-dose therapy (HDT) with stem cell transplantation

- The role of **autologous transplantation** has been extensively reviewed (52-56).
- High-dose therapy (HDT) with autologous stem cell transplanation has been shown to improve both response rates and survival in patients with myeloma. However, this approach is not curative: > 90% of patients relapse.
- Complete remission rates with HDT as a planned part of front line therapy range from 24-75%.
- **Partial remission rates** (i.e., \geq PR) with HDT as frontline range from 75-90%
- **Time to progression** (first progression or relapse) is 18-24 months.
- **Median overall survival** with HDT is in the 4-5 year range. This is reflected as being statistically superior in the randomized Attal study (53) and in, for example, the historical case-controlled Nordic Myeloma Study (2000) (57). The "MRC Myeloma VII Trial of standard versus intensive treatment in patients aged <65 years" (54) indicated improved outcome overall with high-dose therapy, especially for patients with high serum β₂ microglobulin (in this study >8.0 mg/dl) (also: NEJM, In press 2003).

• Morbidity and Mortality

- With current growth factor, antibiotic, and other supportive care, the procedure-related mortality with HDT is very low: circa 1%. The majority of centers use intravenous high-dose melphalan alone at a dose of 200mg/M^2 as the preparative regimen. Since the use of total body irradiation (TBI) adds toxicity without clear survival benefit, few centers recommend TBI as part of the preparative regimen.
- Both quality of life and cost-utility analyses have been conducted for HDT compared to standard-dose chemotherapy. The Nordic Myeloma Study showed both improved quality and length (median survival of 62 months versus 44) of survival at an estimated added cost of \$27,000/year.

Overall recommendations for autologous HDT

- HDT with autologous stem cell support should be considered as part of the frontline therapy for newly diagnosed patients with symptomatic myeloma.
 - a. The standard conditioning regimen is melphalan 200mg/M². Total body irradiation is not recommended.
 - b. Stem cell purging is not recommended because of added expense without additional clinical benefit.

- c. Peripheral blood stem cells are recommended over bone marrow both because of ease of collection and more rapid engraftment.
- d. The pre-transplant regimens including VAD, dexamethasone, thalidomide/dexamethasone, and Cytoxan are discussed under 3 above.

Several factors influence the choice of HDT with autologous transplant

- a. **Patient age** (58) Patients up to age 70 years can be considered provided performance status and other parameters are satisfactory. For patients aged 60-70 years, data indicate a definite survival advantage. For patients older than 70 years, although transplant can be feasible, there are no clear data to indicate the degree of benefit.
- b. **Renal Function** (59) Patients with severe renal impairment (creatinine clearance < 50ml/min and/or serum creatinine ≥ 3- 4.0 mg/dl.) can be considered for auto transplantation, but only at a center with special expertise in this setting.
- c. **Patient preference** (60)- Since quality and length of outcome incorporate elements of personal choice, it is important to offer consideration of auto transplant in an open fashion.
- d. **Cost-utility** (60,61) In some health systems, costs preclude use of auto transplantation.

Role of auto transplantation at time of first relapse

• Part of the decision process for auto transplant involves knowledge of the impact of waiting with a plan to transplant at relapse. French randomized trial data indicate **no** reduction in overall survival from waiting to do the transplant at relapse (62). Quality of life becomes an important consideration. If transplant is not performed as a planned primary strategy, then typically additional therapy including maintenance is required with corresponding toxicity and side effects. Conversely, the major impact of the transplant is deferred, which for some patients can be a better personal choice.

Harvesting and storing stem cells for later use

• There is a strong reluctance in many centers to harvest stem cells **without** a clear plan for use, typically immediate use. This reluctance arises from protocol priorities, cost/utilization constraints for harvesting and storage, as well as numerous other factors. Nonetheless, many patients request and want their stem cells harvested, even though they may not be enthusiastic about immediate high-dose therapy.

Recommendations

- a. Harvesting with storage for future use is a valid option. Depending upon local resources and facilities, stem cell storage may be reviewed on a case-by-case basis.
- b. There is medical/scientific rationale for saving stem cells for later use.
- c. Delayed transplant is a viable treatment option. A repeat or second transplant in a patient is a viable option, especially if a first remission

was of at least 1 year and especially \geq 2 years duration. (See discussion below of "double" transplantation.)

The Role of Double or Tandem Transplantation

- At present the role of double or tandem transplantation as a planned primary strategy is not definitely known (63-66).
- The results with planned primary tandem transplant (total therapy I and II at the University of Arkansas) have been good. The median overall survival has been 68 months with some groups having longer survival (e.g., good risk: > 9 years).
- Comparative studies, including the French randomized studies, have shown benefit in response rates and survival. The most recent follow-up analyses presented at ASH 2002 (64), indicated statistically significant survival benefit with planned tandem transplant for patients with significant residual disease after the first transplant.

Recommendations

- a. At the present time, planned tandem transplant continues to be a clinical trial option and should be carried out at centers specialized in this approach.
- b. A second or repeat transplant in a patient who has responded well with a first transplant and relapsed after ≥ 2 years is a useful and viable option (Sirohi [2001]) (67).
- c. Saving and storing enough stem cells for a second or additional transplant, if appropriate, is strongly recommended.

The Role of Allogeneic Transplantation

- Details of results with allogeneic transplantation have been extensively reviewed (68-71).
- Despite medical improvements over the past 2 decades, allogeneic transplant, even with a perfectly matched family member donor, is a high-risk procedure in the management of multiple myeloma. The initial treatment-related morbidity and mortality is high. Even at centers with the greatest experience, and in the best risk settings, initial mortality is at least 20%. In other centers, 20-30% or higher mortality is frequently reported. The pulmonary complications are usually the most critical for myeloma patients.
- The potential advantages of allogeneic transplantation are the ability to collect myeloma-free stem cells and the graft versus myeloma effect. But, despite these factors, long-term cure is rare. Relapse continues at a rate of approximately 7% per year with long-term follow-up. Graft versus host disease can also be an ongoing problem, requiring therapy and impairing quality of life.
- The graft versus myeloma effect can be enhanced by using donor lymphocyte infusions which have been clinically beneficial in some series (72).

• There is recent interest in non-myeloablative or "mini" allogeneic transplants in myeloma (73). The intent is primarily to achieve a graft versus myeloma effect with lesser toxicity than with a matched full allogeneic transplant. However, although anti-myeloma effects have been promising, with an 84% response rate in the first 32 patients in one series, the risks remain high with substantial acute (45%) and chronic (55%) graft versus host disease reported.

Overall Recommendations

- a. Conventional full match allogeneic transplantation is rarely recommended as a primary strategy because the risks of transplant related complications are too high. However, allogeneic transplantation can be considered in younger patients, particularly in those with an HLA matched, CMV negative, sibling donor of the same gender, since the risks are lower.
- b. "Mini" allogeneic transplantation (74) is a promising new approach, which requires further evaluation as part of well planned clinical trials.
- c. **Twin or syngeneic transplantation** (75) is a rare option, which is a safe procedure with good outcome and is recommended when an identical twin is available.

5. Maintenance Therapy

- In this section, the role of ongoing anti-myeloma therapy will be considered (76-80).
- The role of anti-myeloma maintenance therapy following frontline therapy and/or stem cell transplantation is unclear.
- It is generally agreed that therapy be continued following response (≥ 50% reduction in M-component) until stable remission is achieved. At a minimum, this means 2 or 3 sequential measurements of M-component level at monthly intervals following maximum response. In the U.K. and Canadian MRC studies, the establishment of a stable plateau is an important end point and requires 4-6 months of stability (81). Thereafter, the transition is to either no further therapy or maintenance of some sort. With the advent of stem cell transplantation, the decision is whether or not to give any therapy after recovery from engraftment post high-dose therapy.
- Maintenance therapy is not definitively helpful in any disease setting.
 - **Continued alkylator therapy** is not beneficial in post M/P plateau.
 - ➤ Cycle active therapies are not useful since myeloma cell labeling indices are generally zero or very low in remission (81).
 - ➤ **Alpha interferon,** after 2 decades of research, has proven to provide only marginal benefit overall (79). Remission duration is prolonged by 4-7 months and there is no statistically significant impact on overall survival.
 - ➤ **Low-dose prednisone** (50mg every other day) as maintenance showed benefit in a recent study evaluating both remission duration and survival. This SWOG study showed prolongation of remission from 5 to 14 months and median survival from 26 to 37 months (80). Although this is very

- promising, prednisone maintenance can have significant side effects. Further studies of the benefits, side effects, and quality of life are required.
- ➤ **Several new agents** are now being studied, including thalidomide, Revimid®, VELCADE™, as well as dendritic cell and other vaccine approaches.

Overall Recommendations

- a. No strong recommendation can be made for any particular maintenance strategy.
- b. The pros and cons of specific maintenance therapy such as prednisone or alpha interferon must be assessed in the individual patient, based upon the level of residual disease and the anticipated potential for renewed disease activity. Steroids in some fashion are the simplest agents for maintenance if some therapy is deemed necessary. Also, alpha interferon can be considered, starting with a trial for tolerance, especially in settings in which benefit has been observed in some studies, including post auto stem cell transplantation, IgA myeloma, and in the setting of concomitant viral infection such as hepatitis. Although no trial data exist, thalidomide with or without steroids is an option for maintenance, especially in high-risk settings. The potential for neuropathy can temper such use.

6. Supportive Care and Management of Specific Complications Treatment of Bone Disease (82-90)

- **Approximately 80% of myeloma patients** have lytic bone lesions and/or diffuse osteopenia 2° to myeloma.
- **Treatment of the myeloma** with chemotherapy and/or radiation therapy is very important for the control of bone disease.
- **Bisphosphonates**, which substantially inhibit new bone destruction, are recommended as ongoing therapy for all myeloma patients with bone disease.
- The details of recommendations for bisphosphonates are summarized in Table 14.
- The bisphosphonates that are in general use are pamidronate (Aredia®), zoledronic acid (Zometa®), and clodronate (Bonefos®). Other oral agents such as Fosamax® and Actonel® have not been specifically studied in myeloma, although they are known to be helpful in preventing steroid-induced osteopenia. Overall these agents have proven to be equivalent in reducing what are called "skeletal related events" or bone complications, such as fractures and pain.
- **Renal toxicity** is a concern with bisphosphonates. Details are summarized in Table 15.
- The incidence, types, and severity of renal complications are influenced by both the type of bisphosphonate and dose/speed/frequency of administration as well as patient factors, such as underlying renal disease, other nephrotoxic

- exposures, and type of myeloma, particularly light chain disease or associated amyloidosis.
- Careful monitoring is required along with proactive modifications in bisphosphonate administration as necessary. As listed in Table 15, serum creatinine measurement and 24-hour urine collection are required as part of monitoring.
- If serum creatinine measurement is not feasible before (e.g. within 72 hours before) each dose of intravenous bisphosponate, one needs to carefully consider the options and risks. If risk factors for potential renal toxicity exist, Aredia® has a longer track record of safety and can be used preferentially in this setting. Albunimuria, although rare, is a more frequent risk with Aredia® over the long term (≥2 years).
- The ideal duration of bisphosphonate use is unknown. In general, it is recommended that bisphosphonates be continued indefinitely in all myeloma patients with bone disease. This is part of the ASCO guidelines (86). *However*, formal quality of life and cost effectiveness analyses have provided less definitive data, although generally favoring ongoing bisphosphonate use to reduce complications and analgesic needs.
- **Kyphoplasty** provides a new tool that may impact bone care for myeloma patients. No large studies are available. However, in selected patients, the injection of liquid cement using the balloon technique may provide a safe approach for acute pain relief and improvement in structural integrity of collapsed vertebrae or other damaged bones (91).
- General measures to improve bone health are recommended including:
 - ➤ Adequate pain control to allow ambulation and exercise
 - ➤ Radiation therapy and/or orthopedic surgery to restore structural integrity of bones and recovery of full mobilization. Radiation therapy should be used sparingly for acute problems such as
 - spinal cord compression
 - severe refractory pain
 - to treat or prevent pathologic fracture.

Since radiation therapy can impair local bone healing, many physicians prefer to use systemic steroids and/or other anti-myeloma therapy. Orthopedic surgery is used as necessary.

- **Exercise**, especially walking and/or swimming, are helpful to enhance bone strength and remodeling. Carefully selected exercises to improve body strength, flexibility, and endurance can all be important.
- ➤ **Avoidance** of risky activities, which can increase the likelihood of falls and/or fractures, is recommended (e.g. climbing ladders).
- ➤ **Regular re-evaluation** and follow-up testing of bones by x-ray/scan/ bone density testing to rule out new bone disease and assess the impact of treatment.

Management of Anemia (92-98)

- **Approximately 70% of myeloma patients** have some degree of anemia at presentation. Median hemoglobin level is 10.5-11.0 g/dl.
- **Persistent anemia** (e.g., hemoglobin < 10.5-11 g/dl) is common especially in patients receiving ongoing therapy for myeloma.
- **Reversible causes** such as iron deficiency or Vitamin B12/folate deficiency, hypothyroidism, or other causes should be sought and treated as necessary.
- Erythropoietin therapy should be considered for all patients with persistent symptomatic anemia. Details of different products and treatment schedules are summarized in Table 16.
- **Transfusion** of leukocyte-poor, washed, packed red blood cells is recommended when immediate improvement in circulatory oxygen-carrying capacity is required. Typically, this is only required if there is severe anemia at first presentation, following aggressive cytoreductive therapy such as stem cell transplantation, or in the setting of refractory disease.

Management of Renal Problems (99-104)

- **Renal function** is a critical issue in myeloma management.
- **Multiple factors** can affect renal function and are summarized in Table 17.
- **Prompt therapy** is frequently required when renal function is impaired, either to directly treat the myeloma with chemotherapy and/or radiation or treat associated complications such as hypercalcemia, dehydration, hyperuricemia, hyperviscosity, ureteral obstruction, or infection.
- Bence-Jones (monoclonal light chain excretion) myeloma and/or amyloidosis are particular risk factors for ongoing renal injury. Close monitoring is required. Hydration, alkaline duresis, and plasmapheresis can all, at times be critically important to recovery or improvement of renal function. Besides the level of monoclonal protein in the urine, the serum Freelite measurement can be very helpful to assess the magnitude of light chain exposure to the kidneys.
- Many medical conditions, drug treatments, and toxic exposures are especially dangerous for myeloma patients. Table 17 summarizes the most common problems. Specific preventive and/or treatment strategies may be necessary. In general, all potential nephrotoxins should be avoided. Special caution is required with non-steroidal anti-inflammatory agents (NSAIDs), contrast dyes, and bisphosphonates.
- The level of renal function significantly affects specific myeloma therapy.
 - ➤ Role of VAD and pulse dexamethasone (D) Since melphalan is hydrolyzed and excreted, and cytoxan metabolites are excreted via the kidneys, dose adjustments with renal impairment are required. Since dose calculations can be complex in the setting of active myeloma, VAD and dexamethasone alone are preferred since they can provide rapid efficacy, without concerns about renal clearance and/or toxicity.

Page 20 of 60

- ➤ **High-dose therapy** As noted above, in the setting of renal impairment, HDT must be carried out with caution in specialized centers in the setting of renal impairment.
- Patients with abnormal renal function not requiring dialysis At least 20-30% of myeloma patients have or develop abnormal renal function during the course of the disease.
 - ➤ Elevated serum creatinine Elevation in serum creatinine is the most common abnormality. Any degree of elevation is of concern and requires consideration as to etiology and treatment. Usually, any potential nephrotoxin, including non-steroidal anti-inflammatory agents (NSAIDS) and intravenous bisphosphonates (103), should be withheld until the elevation is reversed and/or clarified. If the increase is due to increased myeloma activity, antimyeloma therapy may be required. Renal biopsy many be required to establish a diagnosis. The type and aggressiveness of therapy for renal insufficiency is influenced by the anticipated reversibility of the renal dysfunction. Reversibility is least likely when the serum creatinine is high (e.g. ≥ 4 mg/dl) and/or if the dysfunction is of long duration. Non-oliguric renal failure is potentially more reversible if treated early.
 - ➤ **Development of proteinuria** As a result of the disease and/or treatment, a nephrotic state can develop with, sometimes substantial, proteinuria (several g/day). Potential nephrotoxins, including intravenous bisphosphonates (104), should be discontinued. Renal biopsy may be required to clarify the situation. Nephrotic states are more typically associated with glomerular abnormalities, including amyloid or light chain deposition.

Management of Infections (105,106)

- Infection is the single most dangerous complication for myeloma patients. Impaired clearing of infection is an integral part of the disease process, which affects both cell-mediated and humoral immunity. The infection risk is increased by cytotoxic therapy, which reduces neutrophils, and by glucocorticoids such as prednisone or dexamethasone, which reduce the immune response to opportunistic infections. Myeloma patients are therefore susceptible to the broad range of infectious agents including viruses, bacteria, mycobacteria, fungi, and other pathogens.
- The most common infections at the time of presentation are:
 - > Streptococcus pneumoniae
 - ➤ Hemophilus influenzae
 - ➤ Herpes Zoster (shingles).
- Infections are most likely at times of increased myeloma disease activity, including the first 3 months of frontline therapy and at relapse.
- The first 3 months of frontline therapy are the highest risk period for infectious complications. It has been shown that prophylactic use of

antibiotics during this time period can be helpful. Additional randomized studies are ongoing. Many investigators routinely use antibiotic therapy along with VAD or high-dose dexamethasone therapy, including prophylaxis for pneumocystis carinii using trimethoprin – sulphamethoxazole (e.g., Bactrim).

- With HDT and stem cell transplantation antibiotic coverage and growth factor support are used routinely.
- **Aggressive treatment of serious infections** Serious infections typically occur in the setting of several risk factors, such as:
 - > Active myeloma
 - > Neutropenia
 - > Steroid use
 - ➤ Indwelling catheters/tubes/implants
 - ➤ Underlying disease e.g., diabetes mellitus.

Because of the diversity of potential infections, broad initial coverage is required along with growth factor support, as necessary. Intravenous gammaglobulin may be a helpful adjunctive measure for patients responding poorly with other measures. Extreme caution is required with regard to nephrotoxic agents. Every effort should be made to obtain cultures for specific identification of the pathogen(s) involved. Occult sites should be considered, including: dental, sinuses, cerebrospinal fluid, cardiac vegetations, prosthetic implants and the like. Long-term antibiotic use may be required in these settings.

Management of Hypercalcemia

- Hypercalcemia has become a less frequent complication of active myeloma because of earlier diagnosis and more routine use of bisphosphonate therapy.
- **Typically, hydration and steroids** (prednisone or dexamethasone) rapidly reverse hypercalcemia.
- **Bisphosphonate therapy** is used for persistent or severe hypercalcemia. A recent study showed zoledronic acid (Zometa®) 4mg to be more effective than pamidronate (Aredia®) 90mg (107). Other measures such as calcitonin are rarely required.
- **Truly refractory hypercalcemia** is rare and usually occurs in the setting of refractory underlying myeloma. If renal failure ensues, dialysis is very effective management, if otherwise appropriate.

7. Novel Therapies and New Technologies

• Several novel therapies (Table 18) and new technologies (Table 19) are available.

Novel Therapies (108-117)

- The most promising novel therapies are:
 - Thalidomide (Thalomid TM) and thalidomide analogs Revimid TM and Actimid TM
 - Bortezomib (VELCADE TM) (108)

• Arsenic trioxide (TrisenoxTM).

• THALIDOMIDE

- Thalidomide continues to show benefit in a wide variety of settings.
- ➤ Thalidomide plus dexamethasone is very active as a frontline approach (see section 3: [45-49]).
- ➤ Thalidomide alone or combined with alpha interferon has shown early benefit as a maintenance strategy (47). Further studies are required.
- ➤ Low-dose thalidomide (50-100 mg/day) both alone and combined with dexamethasone improves survival in advanced multiple myeloma (47, 109). In the recent Italian study (110), Thal/Dex as salvage therapy for advanced myeloma produced a 52% response rate (≥ 50% reduction in M-component) with a median progression-free survival of 12 months and median overall survival of 27 months, which is better than what is achievable with conventional chemotherapy salvage (P <.05).
- ➤ Thalidomide is currently being evaluated as part of combination therapy in numerous studies (e.g. plus melphalan or cytoxan). Of particular interest, thalidomide plus bortezomib (VELCADE TM) with or without dexamethasone has shown benefit in refractory myeloma post auto transplant with chromosome 13 deletion (110).

• **REVIMID**TM (**CC-5013**)

- ➤ Preliminary results of a phase II trial were presented at ASH 2002 (111). Table 20 summarizes the response rates at different dose levels of RevimidTM.
- ➤ Of note, dexamethasone enhanced response to RevimidTM. RevimidTM appeared to have been well tolerated with no neurologic side effects such as neuropathy, sleepiness, or constipation in early testing. Neutropenia was a problem, which has led to a 3 weeks on/1 week off schedule for further testing. Several trials are now ongoing, including a phase III trial of dexamethasone versus RevimidTM plus dexamethasone.

• VELCADETM (bortezomib, formerly PS-341)

➤ Final results of the 202 patient, multicenter, phase II "SUMMIT" trial of VELCADE™ in heavily pretreated (6 median prior lines of therapy) patients with relapsed and refractory myeloma were presented at ASH 2002 (112). The response rates, according to the criteria defined by Blade (117) and confirmed by an independent review committee, are summarized in Table 21. The overall response rate (CR + PR + MR) was 35%. Of note, the median response duration was 12 months and median overall survival was 16 months This compares favorably to the 6 – 9 months survival in refractory patients reported in the literature. Results in earlier stage disease in the "CREST" study were also presented (113).

These patients had received a median of one prior line of therapy, with a median of three prior regimens, including stem cell transplant in 48%. In the "CREST" study, overall responses were 33% and 50% at doses of 1 and 1.3 mg/m². These studies were also evaluated using the response criteria of Bladé et al (117). In both Phase II studies, responses were independent of the number or type of prior therapies and were associated with improved quality of life.

- ➤ Based upon these promising results, VELCADE has been approved by the FDA for the treatment of patients with multiple myeloma who have received at least two prior therapies and have demonstrated disease progression on the last therapy.
- ➤ VELCADETM is currently the focus of a multicenter phase III ("APEX") randomized trial comparing VELCADETM to high dose dexamethasone in >600 patients at 80 sites in multiple myeloma patients who have relapsed following one to three prior lines of therapy. The primary endpoint is time to progression. "APEX" will also assess the role of VELCADETM as maintenance therapy in responders.
- ➤ Ongoing studies indicate benefit in several combination studies including VELCADETM plus thalidomide (110), DOXILTM (114), dexamethasone (112), melphalan (Berenson et al, Proc ASCO 2003) as well as more complex combinations.

Assessment of Thalidomide, RevimidTM and VELCADETM as new therapies

- **The potential future roles** of these agents were actively discussed.
- ➤ The costs and convenience of oral versus intravenous medications influence both patient preference and the ideal clinical settings for use. The strange nuances of authorization and reimbursement were noted.
- **Each of the three agents** has already contributed to overall improvement in outcome and survival for patients with relapsing/refractory myeloma
- ➤ Thalidomide plus dexamethasone is already a valid option versus VAD as a frontline therapy. It appears that the efficacy may be equivalent, but careful trial comparisons are required. 30-40% of newly diagnosed patients still need new options for frontline induction.
- ➤ It is widely anticipated that the next steps include the assessment of all three new drugs in the frontline setting. Combinations with each other and with standard therapies will be assessed regarding improved efficacy. Preliminary results with thalidomide/dexamethasone/VELCADETM and VELCADETM/DOXIL are very encouraging.
- ➤ However, a range of different goals and end points require evaluation.
 - **Primary induction.** Achieving response in patients unresponsive with current options is an important goal. Improving the response for all patients with maximum enhancement of stem cell harvesting capability is another end point.

- Consolidation with high-dose therapy. Enhancing the efficacy of high-dose melphalan or other options can prolong remission and survival.
- **Post induction/consolidation maintenance.** Extending remission and overall survival in this setting is very important.

It is likely that each new drug will have differential utility in these different situations. For example, the oral agents Thalidomide/RevimidTM can be more readily considered as maintenance agents, especially RevimidTM, which appears to lack neurotoxicity. The myelosuppression with RevimidTM, especially when used post stem cell transplantation, may however complicate use in the maintenance setting. The intravenous drug VELCADETM can be more easily integrated as part of induction and/or consolidation.

- Arsenic trioxide (Trisenox®): results summarized in Table 21
 - Arsenic trioxide has been evaluated in several studies. It is currently too early to assess the overall response, response duration, and survival data.
- Other agents that also show promise, but that are farther behind in development include:
 - Farnesyl transferase inhibitor R115777
 - ➤ Genasense BCl-2 antibody
 - ➤ Beta-lapachone (a novel plant product)
 - > Anti estrogens
 - ➤ Anti IL-6 monoclonal antibodies
 - ➤ Holmium "skeletal targeted radiation" (118)
 - ➤ Histone deacetylase LAQ824 (115)
 - ➤ Hsp 90 inhibitors (115)

See also Table 18 (and 115-118).

• Current clinical trials

See Myeloma Matrix and other details at the IMF website: www.myeloma.org.

REFERENCES

- 1. The U.K. Myeloma Forum. *Brit J of Haematol*. 2001; 115: 522-540.
- 2. Durie BGM. Staging and kinetics of multiple myeloma. *Clinics in Haematology*. 1982; 11:181-210.
- 3. Kyle RA, Greipp RP. Plasma cell dyscrasias: current status. *Crit Rev Oncol Haematol.* 1988; 8: 93-152.
- 4. Kyle RA, Gertz MA, Witzig TE, et al. Review of 1027 patients with newly diagnosed multiple myeloma. *Mayo Clin Proc.* 2003; 78:21-33.
- 5. Lymphoma Tumour Group. Plasma cell disorders. <u>Cancer Treatment Policies</u>. Vancouver: British Columbia Cancer Agency. 1992; 4-6.
- 6. Ong F, Hermans J, Noordijk EM et al. Is the Durie and Salmon diagnostic classification system for plasma cell dysrasias still the best choice? Application of three classification systems on a large population-based registry on paraproteinaemia and multiple myeloma. *Ann Hematol*. 1995; 70: 19-24.
- 7. Kyle RA et al. Criteria for the classification of monoclonal gammopathies, multiple myeloma, and related disorders: a report of the International Myeloma Working Group. *Brit J Haemat*, In Press; 2003.
- 8. Kyle RA, Therneau TM, Rajkumar SV, et al. A long-term study of prognosis in monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance. *N Engl J Med*. Vol. 346, No. 8, 2002; 564-569.
- 9. Kyle RA, Greipp PR. Smoldering multiple myeloma. *New Engl J Med.* 1980, 302; 1347-1349.
- 10. Drayson M, Tang LX, Drew R, et al. Serum free light-chain measurements for identifying and monitoring patients with nonsecretory multiple myeloma. *Blood*. 2001; 2900-2902.
- 11. Katzmann JA, Clark RJ, Abraham RS, et al. Serum reference and diagnostic ranges for free kappa and free lambda immunoglobulin light chains: relative sensitivity for detection of monoclonal light chain. *Clinical Chemistry*. In Press.

- 12. Woolfenden JM, Pitto MJ, Durie BGM. Comparison of bone scintigraphy and radiography in multiple myeloma. *Radiology*. 1980; 134: 726-731.
- 13. Schreiman JS, McLeod RA, Kyle RA, et al. Multiple myeloma: evaluation by CT. *Mayo Clinic Proc.* 1985; 154: 483-486.
- 14. Kusumoto S, Jinnai I, Itoh K, et al. Magnetic resonance imaging patterns in patients with multiple myeloma. *Br J of Haematol*. 1997; 99: 649-655.
- 15. Mariette X, Zagdanski AM, Guermazi A, et al. Prognostic value of vertebral lesions detected by magnetic resonance imaging in patients with stage I multiple myeloma. *Br J of Haematol*. 1999; 104: 723-729.
- 16. Durie BGM, Waxman AD, D'Agnolo A, et al. Whole-body ¹⁸F-FDG PET identifies high-risk myeloma. *J Nucl Med*. 2002; 43: 1457-1463.
- 17. Tricot G, Spencer T, Sawyer J, et al. Predicting long-term (> 5 years) event-free survival in multiple myeloma patients following planned tandem autotransplants. *Brit J Haematol*. 2002; 116: 211-217.
- 18. Facon T, Avet-Loiseau H, Guillerm G, et al. Intergroupe francophone du myelome chromosome 13 abnormalities identified by FISH analysis and serum β₂Microglobulin produce a powerful myeloma staging system for patients receiving high-dose therapy. *Blood*, Vol. 97, 2001; 1566-1571.
- 19. Durie BGM, Salmon SE. A clinical staging system for multiple myeloma. *Cancer.* 1975; 36: 842-854.
- 20. Baur A, Stäbler A, Nagel D, et al. Magnetic Resonance Imaging as a Supplement for the Clinical Staging System of Durie and Salmon? *Cancer*. Vol. 95, No. 6, September 15, 2002; 1334-1345.
- 21. Bataille R, Durie BGM, Grenier J, et al. Prognostic factors and staging in multiple myeloma: a reappraisal. *J Clin Onc.* Vol. 4, No. 1, 1986; 80-87.
- 22. Jacobson J, Hussein M, Barlogie B, et al. A new staging system for multiple myeloma patients based on the southwest oncology group (SWOG) experience. *Br J Haemat*. 2003; In Press.
- 23. Greipp RR, San Miguel JF, Fonseca R, Avet-Loiseau H, Jacobson JL, Rasmussen E, Crowley JJ, Durie BGM. Development of an International Prognostic Index (IPI) for Myeloma: Report of the International Myeloma Working Group. *Haematol J.* In press, 2003.

- 24. Hjorth M, Hellquist L, Holmberg E, et al. Initial versus deferred melphalan-prednisone therapy for asymptomatic multiple myeloma stage I a randomized study. *Eur J Haematol*. 1993; 50: 95-102.
- 25. Riccardi A, Mora O, Tinelli, C, et al. Long-term survival of stage I multiple myeloma given chemotherapy just after diagnosis or at progression of the disease: a multicentre randomized study. *Brit J of Can.* 2000; 82: 1254-1260.
- 26. Berenson J, Hillner B, Kyle R, Anderson K, Lipton A, Yee G, Biermann JS. American Society of Clinical Oncology Clinical Practice Guidelines: The Role of Bisphosphonates in Multiple Myeloma. J Clin Onc. Vol. 20, No. 17, September 1 2002; 3719-3736.
- 27. Blokhin N, et al. Clinical Experiences With Sarcolysin in Neoplastic Diseases. *Ann NY Acad Sci.* Vol 68, April 1958; 1128-1132.
- 28. Salmon SE, Shadduck RK, Schilling A. Intermittent High-Dose Prednisone (NSC-10023) Therapy for Multiple Myeloma. *Cancer Chemother Rep.* Vol 51, June 1967; 179-187.
- 29. Alexanian R, Haut A, Khan AU, et al. Treatment for multiple myeloma: combination chemotherapy with different melphalan dose regimens. *J Amer Med Assoc*. 1969; 208: 1680-1685.
- 30. Myeloma Trialists' Collaborative Group. Combination Chemotherapy Versus Melphalan Plus Prednisone as Treatment for Multiple Myeloma: An Overview of 6,633 Patients From 27 Randomized Trials. *J Clin Onc.* Vol. 16, No. 12, December 1998; 3832-3842.
- 31. Durie BGM, Jacobson J, Barlogie B, Crowley J. Time to first progression, but not magnitude of regression predicts survival benefit in SWOG standard dose chemotherapy studies for multiple myeloma. In press, 2003.
- 32. Durie BGM. Is magnitude of initial response predictive for survival in multiple myeloma? *Annals of Oncology*. Vol. 2, 1991; 166.
- 33. Rajkumar SV, Gertz MA, Kyle RA, et al. Current therapy for multiple myeloma. *Mayo Clin Proc.* 2002; 77: 813-822.
- 34. Durie BGM, Salmon SE, Moon TE. Pretreatment tumor mass, cell kinetics and prognosis in multiple myeloma. *Blood*. 1980; 55: 364-372.
- 35. Rivers SL, Patno ME. Cyclophosphamide vs melphalan in treatment of plasma cell myeloma. *JAMA*. 1969; 207: 1328-1334.

- 36. Medical Research Council's Working Party on Leukaemia in Adults. Myelomatosis: comparison of melphalan and cyclophosphamide therapy. *Brit Med J.* 1971; 1: 640-641.
- 37. Medical Research Council's Working Party on Leukaemia in Adults. Report on the second myelomatosis trial after five years of follow-up. *Brit J Cancer*. 1980; 42: 813-822.
- 38. MacLennan ICM, Chapman C, Dunn J. Combined chemotherapy with ABCM versus melphalan for treatment of myelomatosis. *Lancet*. 1992; 339: 200-205.
- 39. Oken M, Leong T, Lenhard R, Greipp P, Kay N, Van Ness B, Keimowitz R, and Kyle R. (1999) The addition of interferon or high-dose cyclophosphamide to standard chemotherapy in the treatment of multiple myeloma: Phase III ECOG Clinical Trial EST 9486. *Cancer*. 86:957-968.
- 40. Alexanian R, Barlogie B, Tucker S. VAD-based regimens as primary treatment for multiple myeloma. *Amer J of Hematol*. 1990b; 33: 86-89.
- 41. Kelly K, Durie BGM, MacLennan ICM. Prognostic factors and staging system for multiple myeloma: comparisons between the MRC studies in the United Kingdom and the Southwest Oncology Group studies in the United States. *Hematol Oncol* 1988; 6: 131-40..
- 42. Barlogie B, Smith L, Alexanian R. Effective treatment of advanced multiple myeloma refractory to alkylating agents. *New Engl J Med.* 1984; 310: 1353-1356.
- 43. Alexanian R, Dimopoulos MA, Delasalle K, et al. Primary dexamethasone treatment of multiple myeloma. *Blood*. 1992; 80: 887-890.
- 44. Alexanian R, Weber D, Dimopoulos M, et al. Randomized trial of α-interferon or dexamethasone as maintenance treatment for multiple myeloma. *Am J Hematol*. 2000; 65: 204-209.
- 45. Singhal S, Mehta J, Desikan R, et al. Antitumor activity of thalidomide in refractory multiple myeloma. *N Engl J Med*. 1999; 341: 1565-157.
- 46. Barlogie B, Desikan R, Eddlemon P, et al. Extended survival in advanced and refractory multiple myeloma after single-agent thalidomide: identification of prognostic factors in a phase 2 study of 169 patients. *Blood*. 2001; 98: 492-494.
- 47. Durie BGM. Low-dose thalidomide in myeloma: efficacy and biologic significance. *Seminars in Onc.* 2002; Vol. 29, No. 6, Suppl 17: 34-38.

- 48. Rajkumar SV, Hayman S, Gertz MA, et al. Combination therapy with thalidomide plus dexamethasone for newly diagnosed myeloma. *J Clin Onc*. 2002; Vol. 20, No. 21: 4319-4323.
- 49. Weber D, Rankin K, Gavino M, et al. Thalidomide alone or with dexamethasone for previously untreated multiple myeloma. *J Clin Onc*. 2003; Vol. 21, No. 1: 16-19.
- 50. Singhal S. High-dose therapy and autologous transplantation. *Myeloma*. Chapter 19: 327-347. Editors: Jayesh Mehta, M.D. & Seema Singhal, M.D. 2002 *Martin Dunitz Ltd*. ISBN 1-901865-50-9.
- 51. Powles R & Sirohi B. Multiple myeloma. *Lancet*. In Press. 2003.
- 52. McElwain TJ & Powles RL. High-dose intravenous melphalan for plasma cell leukaemia and myeloma. *Lancet*. 1983; 2: 822-824.
- 53. Attal M, Harousseau JL, Stoppa AM, et al. Prospective, randomized trial of autologous bone marrow transplantation and chemotherapy in multiple myeloma. *New Engl J Med.* 1996; 355: 91-97.
- 54. Morgan G, Davies F, Hawkins K, Brown J, Bell S, Drayson M, Selby P, Child J. The MRC myeloma VII trial of standard versus intensive treatment in patients <65 years of age with multiple myeloma. Abstract #668. ASH 2002.
- 55. Imrie K, Esmail R, Meyer MR. The role of high-dose chemotherapy and stem cell transplantation in patients with multiple myeloma: a practice guideline of the cancer care Ontario practice guidelines initiative. *Ann Intern Med.* 2002; 136: 619-629.
- 56. Cunningham D, Powles R, Malpas J, et al. A randomized trial of maintenance interferon following high-dose chemotherapy in multiple myeloma: long-term follow-up results. *Br J Haematol*. 1998; 102: 495-502.
- 57. Lenhoff S, Hjorth M, Holmberg E, et al. Impact on survival of high-dose therapy with autologous stem cell support in patients younger than 60 years with newly diagnosed multiple myeloma: a population based study. *Blood*. 2000; 95: 7-11.
- 58. Sirohi B, Powles R, Treleaven J, et al. The role of autologous transplantation in patients with multiple myeloma aged 65 years and over. *Bone Marrow Transplantation*. 2000; 25: 533-539.
- 59. Badros A, Barlogie B, Siegel E, et al. Results of autologous stem cell transplant in multiple myeloma patients with renal failure. *Br J Haematol*. 2001; 14: 822-829.

- 60. Gulbrandsen N, Wisloff F, Nord E, et al. Cost-utility analysis of high-dose melphalan with autologous blood stem cell support vs. melphalan plus prednisone in patients younger than 60 years with multiple myeloma. *Euro J Haematol*. 2001; 50: 95-102
- 61. Sampson, FC, Beard SM, Scott F, et al. Cost-effectiveness of high-dose therapy in first-line treatment of advanced multiple myeloma. *Br J Haematol*. 2001; 113: 1015-1019.
- 62. Fermand JP, Ravaud P, Chevret S, et al. High-dose therapy and autologous peripheral blood stem cell transplantation in multiple myeloma: up-front or rescue treatment? Results of a multicenter sequential randomized clinical trial. *Blood*. 1998; 92: 3131-3136.
- 63. Harousseau JL, Milpied N, Laporte JP, et al. Double-intensive therapy in high-risk multiple myeloma. *Blood* 1992; 79: 2827-2833.
- 64. Attal M, Harousseau J-L, Facon T, Guilhot F, Doyen C, Fuzibet J-G, Monconduit M, Hullen C, Caillot D, Bouabdallah R, Voillat L, Sotto J-J, Grobois B, Bataille R. Double autologous transplantation improves survival of multiple myeloma patients: final analysis of a prospective randomized study of the "intergroup francophone du myelome" (IFM 94). *Abstract #7* ASH 2002.
- 65. Barlogie B, Jagannath S, Vesole DH, et al. Superiority of tandem autologous transplantation over standard therapy for previously untreated multiple myeloma. *Blood.* 1997; 89: 789-793.
- 66. Desikan R, Barlogie B, Sawyer J, et al. Results of high-dose therapy for 1000 patients with multiple myeloma: durable complete remissions and superior survival in the absence of chromosome 13 abnormalities. *Blood*. 2000; 95: 4008-4010.
- 67. Sirohi B, Powles R, Singhal S, et al. High-dose melphalan and second autografts for myeloma relapsing after one autograft: results equivalent to tandem autotransplantation. *Blood* 2001b; 98: 402a.
- 68. Gahrton, G. Allogeneic bone marrow transplantation in multiple myeloma. *Br J Haematol.* 1996; 92: 251-254.
- 69. Bjorkstrand BB, Ljungman P, Svensson H, et al. Allogeneic bone marrow transplantation vs. autologous stem cell transplantation in multiple myeloma:

- a retrospective case-matched study from the European group for blood and marrow transplantation. *Blood*. 1996; 88: 4711-4718.
- 70. Gahrton G, Svensson H, Cavo M, et al. Progress in allogeneic bone marrow and peripheral blood stem cell transplantation for multiple myeloma: a comparison between transplants performed and 1994-98 at European group for blood and marrow transplantation centers. *Br J Haematol*. 2001; 209-216.
- 71. Corradini P, Voena C, Tarella C, et al. Molecular and clinical remissions in multiple myeloma: role of autologous and allogeneic transplantation of hematopoietic cells. *J Clin Onc.* 1999; 17: 208-215.
- 72. Kroger N, Kruger W, Renges H, et al. Donor lymphocyte infusion enhances remission status in patients with persistent disease after allografting for multiple myeloma. *Br J Haematol*. 2001; 112: 421-423.
- 73. McSweeney PA, Niederwieser D, Shizuru JA, et al. Hematopoietic cell transplantation in older patients with hematologic malignancies: replacing high-dose cytotoxic therapy with graft vs. tumor effects. *Blood*. 2001; 3390-3400.
- 74. Gahrton G, Svensson H, Bjorkholm M, et al. Syngeneic transplantation in multiple myeloma a case matched comparison with autologous and allogeneic transplantation. *Bone Marrow Transplantation*. 1999; 24: 741-745.
- 75. Alexanian R, Balzerzac S, Haut A, et al. Remission maintenance therapy for multiple myeloma. *Arch Intern Med.* 1975; 135: 147-152.
- 76. Mandelli F, Awisati G, Amadori S, et al. Maintenance treatment with recombinant interferon alfa-2b in patients with multiple myeloma responding to conventional induction chemotherapy. *N Eng J Med.* 1990; 20: 1430-1434.
- 77. Fritz E, Ludwig H. Interferon-alpha treatment in multiple myeloma: metaanalysis of 30 randomized trials among 3948 patients. *Ann Oncol.* 2000; 11: 1427-1436.
- 78. The Myeloma Trialists' Collaborative Group. Interferon as therapy for multiple myeloma: an individual patient data overview of 24 randomized trials and 4012 patients. *Br J Haematol*. 2001; 113: 1020-1034.
- 79. Berenson JR, Crowley JJ, Grogan TM, et al. Maintenance therapy with alternate day prednisone improves survival in multiple myeloma patients. *Blood*. 2002; Vol 99, No 9.

- 80. Durie BGM, Russell DH, Salmon SE: The plateau phase in multiple myeloma: A reappraisal *Lancet* 65-68, July, 1980.
- 81. Durie BGM, Salmon SE, Mundy GR: Relation of osteoclast activating factor (OAF) production to the extent of bone disease in multiple myeloma. *BR J Haematol* 47:21-30, 1981.
- 82. Callender NS, Roodman GD. Myeloma bone disease. *Semin Hematol.* 2001 Jul; 38 (3): 276-85. Review.
- 83. Roodman GD. Biology of osteoclast activation in cancer. *J Clin Oncol*. 2001 Aug 1;19 (15):3562-71. Review.
- 84. Berenson JR, Lichtenstein A, Porter L, et al. Myeloma Aredia Study Group. Efficacy of pamidronate in reducing skeletal events in patients with advanced multiple myeloma. *N Engl J Med*. 1996; 334:488-493.
- 85. Berenson JR, Lichtenstein A, Porter L, Dimopoulos MA, Bordoni R, George S, Lipton A, Keller A, Ballester O, Kovacs M, Blacklock H, Bell R, Simeone JF, Reitsma DJ, Heffernan M, Seaman J, Knight RD. Long-term pamidronate treatment of advanced multiple myeloma patients reduces skeletal events. Myeloma Aredia Study Group. *J Clin Oncol*. 1998 Feb; 16(2):593-602.
- 86. Kyle RA. The role of bisphosphonates in multiple myeloma. *Ann Intern Med.* 2000;132:734-736.
- 87. Berenson JR. Zoledronic acid in cancer patients with bone metastases: results of Phase I and II trials. *Semin Oncol*. 2001; Apr; 28(2 suppl 6):25-34. Review.
- 88. Rosen LS, Gordon D, Antonio BS, Kaminski M, Howell A, Belch A, Mackey JA, Apffelstaedt J, Tfrin M, Hussein M, Coleman RE, Reitsma DJ, Seaman JJ, Chen BL, Ambros Y. Zoledronic acid versus pamidronate in the treatment of skeletal metastases in patients with breast cancer or osteolytic lesions of multiple myeloma: a phase III, double-blind, comparative trial. *Cancer J*. 2001; Sep-Oct; 7(5):377-87.
- 89. Yaccoby S, Pearse RN, Johnson CL, Barlogie B, Choi Y, Epstein J. Myeloma interacts with the bone marrow microenvironment to induce osteoclastogenesis and is dependent on osteoclast activity. *BR J Haematol*. 2002 Feb; 116(2):278-290.
- 90. Dudeney S, Lieberman IH, Reinhardt M-K, Hussein M. Kyphoplasty in the treatment of osteolytic vertebral compression fractures as a result of multiple myeloma. *J. Clin Onc.* 2002 May, (20)9 2382-2387.

- 91. Ludwig H, Fritz E, Kotzmann H et al. Erythropoietin treatment of anemia associated with multiple myeloma. *N Engl J Med.* 1990; 322:1693-9.
- 92. Ludwig H, Leitgeb C, Fritz E et al. Erythropoietin treatment of chronic anemia of cancer. *Eur J Cancer*. 1993; 29A (Suppl 2): 8-12.
- 93. Sunder-Plassmann G, Hörl WH. Importance of iron supply for erythropoietin therapy. *Nephrol Dial Transplant*. 1995; 10: 2070-6.
- 94. Jung L, Schwartz R. Dabepoetin Alfa, a review of its use in patients with cancer. *Cancer Practice*. Nov/Dec 2002, 10(6) 327-330
- 95. Musto P, Falcone A, D'Arena G et al. Clinical results of recombinant erythropoietin in transfution-dependent patients with refractory multiple myeloma; role of cytokines and monitoring of erythropoiesis. *Eur J Haematol*. 1997; 58: 314-19.
- 96. Ludwig H, Fritz E, Letigeb C et al. Prediction of response to erythropoietin treatment in chronic anemia of cancer. *Blood* 1994; 84: 1056-63.
- 97. Ludwig H, Rai K, Blade J, Dammacco F, Degos L, Itri L, Kyle R, Liso V, Littlewood TJ, Mandelli F, Meloni G, Molica S, Osterborg A, Panagalis GA, San Miguel J, Schmitt B, Voliotis D. Management of disease-related anemia in patients with multiple myeloma or chronic lymphocytic leukemia: epoeitin treatment recommendations. *Hematol J.* 2002; 3(3):121-30.
- 98. Shaver-Lewis MJ, Shah SV. The kidney in plasma cell disorders. Chapter 13: 203-215. In: Mehta J, Singhal S. eds. Myeloma. London, England: *Martin Dunitz Ltd*, 2002.
- 99. Alexanian R, Barlogie B, Dixon D. Renal failure in multiple myeloma: pathogenesis and prognostic implications. *Arch Intern Med.* 1990; 150:1693-1695.
- 100. Johnson WJ, Kyle RA, Pineda AA, O'Brien PC, Holley KE. Treatment of renal failure associated with multiple myeloma: plasmapheresis, hemodialysis, and chemotherapy. *Arch Intern Med.* 1990; 150:863-869.
- 101. Yussim E, Schwartz E, Sidi Y, Ehrenfeld M. Acute renal failure precipitated by non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) in multiple myeloma. *Am J Hematol.* 1998; 58: 142-144.
- 102. Wu MJ, Kumar KS, Kulkarni G, Kaiser H. Multiple myeloma in naproxeninduced acute renal failure [letter]. *N Engl J Med*. 1987; 317:170-171.

- 103. Markowitz G, Fine P, Stack J, Kunis C, Radhakrishnan J, Palecki W, Park J, Nasr S, Hoh S, Siegel D, D'Agati V. Toxic acute tubular necrosis following treatment with zoledronate (Zometa). *J.Am Soc Nephrol*. In press. 2003
- 104. Markowitz GS, Appel GB, Fine PL, Fenves AZ, Loon NR, Jagannath S, Kuhn JA, Dratch AD, D'Agati VD: Collapsing focal segmental glomerulosclerosis following treatment with high-dose pamidronate. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 12:1164-1172, 2001.
- 105. Kelleher P, Chapel H. Infections: principles of prevention and therapy. In: Mehta J, Singhal S, eds. Myeloma. London, England: *Martin Dunitz*; 2002:223-239.
- 106. Chapel HM, Lee M, Hargreaves R, Pamphilon DH, Prentice AG. UK Group for Immunoglobulin Replacement Therapy in Multiple Myeloma. Randomised trial of intravenous immunoglobulin as prophylaxis against infection in plateau-phase multiple myeloma. *Lancet*. 1994; 343: 1059-1063.
- 107. Major P, Lortholary A, Hon J, et al. Zoledronic acid is superior to pamidronate in the treatment of hypercalcemia of malignancy: a pooled analysis of two randomized, controlled clinical trials. *J Clin Oncol*. 2001; 19:558-567.
- 108. Orlowski RZ, Stinchcombe TE, Mitchell BS, et al. Phase I Trial of the Proteasome Inhibitor PS-341 in Patients With Refractory Hematologic Malignancies. *J Clin Oncol*. Vol. 20, No. 22, November 15 2002; 4420-4427.
- 109. Palumbo A, Bertola A, Cavallo F, Falco P, Bringhen S, Giaccone L, Musto P, Pregno P, Boccadoro M. Low-dose thalidomide and dexamethasone improves survival in advanced multiple myeloma. *Abstract #793*. ASH 2002.
- 110. Zangari M, Barlogie B, Prather J, Eddlemon P, Anaissie E, Lee C-K, Tricot G, Thertulien R, Van Rhee F. Marked activity also in del 13 multiple myeloma (MM) of PS-341, (PS) and subsequent thalidomide (THAL) in a setting of resistance to post-autotransplant salvage therapies. *Abstract #387*. ASH 2002.
- 111. Richardson P, Jagannath S, Schlossman R, Weller E, Zeldenrust S, Rajkumar V, Alsina M, Desikan R, Mitsiades C, Kelly K, Doss D, McKenney M, Shepard T, Rich R, Warren D, Freeman A, Deocampo R, Doucet K, Knight R, Balinski K, Zeldis J, Dalton W, Hideshima T, Anderson K. A multi-center, randomized, phase II study to evaluate the efficacy and safety of two CDC-5013 dose regimens when used alone or in combination with dexamethasone (Dex) for the treatment of relapsed or refractory multiple myeloma (MM). Abstract # 386. ASH 2002.

- 112. Richardson P, Barlogie B, Berenson J, Traynor A, Singhal S, Jagannath S, Irwin D, Rajkumar V, Srkalovic G, Alsina M, Alexanian R, Siegel D, Orlowski R, Kuter D, Limentani S, Lee S, Esseltine D-L, Kauffman M, Adams J, Schenkein D, Anderson K. A phase II multicenter study of the proteasome inhibitor bortezomib (VELCADETM, formerly PS-341) in multiple myeloma patients (pts) with relapsed/refractory disease. *Abstract #385*. ASH 2002.
- 113. Jagannath S, Barlogie B, Berenson J, Siegel D, Irwin D, Richardson P, Alexanian R, Limentani S, Alsina M, Lee S, Esseltine D-L, Kauffman M, Adams J, Schenkein D, Anderson K. A phase II multicenter randomized study of the proteasome inhibitor bortezomib (VELCADE TM, formerly PS-341) in multiple myeloma (MM) patients (pts) relapsed after front-line therapy. *Abstract #3207*. ASH 2002.
- Orlowski R, Hall M, Voorhees P, Hogan C, Humes E, Johri A, Bell H, Garcia R, Adams J, Esseltine D, Gabriel D, Shea T, Van Deventer H, Mitchell B, Dees E. Phase I study of the proteasome inhibitor bortezomib (PS-341, VELCADETM) in combination with pegylated liposomal doxorubicin (DOXIL®) in patients with refractory hematologic malignancies. *Abstract* #388. ASH 2002.
- 115. Catley L, Weisberg E, Tai Y-T, Lin B, Mitsiades N, Hideshima T, LeBlanc R, Shringapure R, Burger R, Mitsiades C, Chauhan D, Schlossman R, Munshi N, Richardson P, Griffin J, Anderson K. LAQ824 is a novel histone deacetylase inhibitor with significant activity against multiple myeloma: results of a preclinical evaluation in vitro and in vivo. *Abstract #391*. ASH 2002.
- 116. Mitsiades C, Mitsiades N, Poulaki V, Fanourakis G, Hideshima T, Chauhan D, Munshi N, Anderson K. Hsp90 inhibitors prolong survival in a SCID/NOD mice model of diffuse multiple myeloma: therapeutic implications. *Abstract* #392. ASH 2002.
- 117. Blade, J, Samson D, Reece D, Apperley J, Bjorkstrand B, Gahrton G, Gertz M, Giralt S, Jagannath S, Vesole D. Criteria for evaluating disease response and progression in patients with multiple myeloma treated by high-dose therapy and haemopoietic stem cell transplantation. *Br J of Haematol*. 1998; 102:1115-1123.
- 118. Giralt S, Bensinger W, Goodman M, Champlin R, Eary J, Wendt R, Rajendran J, Ghalie R. Long-term follow-up of 83 patients with multiple myeloma (MM) treated on a phase I-II study of skeletal targeted radiotherapy

(STR) using ¹⁶⁶Ho-DOTMP plus melphalan with or without total body irradiation (TBI) and autologous hematopoietic stem cell transplant (AHSCT). *Abstract #670*. ASH 2002.

Figure Legend

Figure 1: Displays the outline for the approaches to frontline therapy for myeloma.

MULTIPLE MYELOMA

DIAGNOSTIC CRITERIA: ALL 3 REQUIRED

- Monoclonal plasma cells in the bone marrow $\geq 10\%$ and/or presence of a biopsy-proven plasmacytoma
- 2 Monoclonal protein present in the serum and/or urine *
- 3 Myeloma-related organ dysfunction (1 or more) **
 - [C] Calcium elevation in the blood {S. Calcium >10.5 mg/l or upper limit of normal}
 - [R] Renal insufficiency {S. Creatinine > 2 mg/dl}
 - [A] Anemia {Hemoglobin < 10 g/dl or 2 g < normal}
 - [B] Lytic bone lesions or osteoporosis ***

NOTE: THESE CRITERIA IDENTIFY STAGE IB and STAGES II and III A/B MYELOMA BY DURIE/SALMON STAGE. Stage IA becomes smoldering or indolent myeloma (Table 3).

- * If no monoclonal protein is detected (non-secretory disease), then ≥ 30% monoclonal bone marrow plasma cells and/or a biopsy-proven plasmacytoma required.
- ** A variety of other types of end organ dysfunctions can occasionally occur and lead to a need for therapy. Such dysfunction is sufficient to support classification of myeloma if proven to be myeloma related.
- *** If a solitary (biopsy-proven) plasmacytoma or osteoporosis alone (without fractures) are the sole defining criteria, then > 30% plasma cells are required in the bone marrow.

MGUS: \underline{M} on oclonal \underline{G} ammopathy of \underline{U} ndetermined \underline{S} ignificance

DIAGNOSTIC CRITERIA: ALL 3 REQUIRED

- 1 Serum Monoclonal protein and/or urine monoclonal protein level low*
- 2 Monoclonal bone marrow plasma cells < 10%
- Normal serum calcium, hemoglobin level and serum creatinine.
 - No bone lesions on full skeletal x-ray survey and/or other imaging if performed.
 - No clinical or laboratory features of amyloidosis or light chain depostion disease.

- * Low is defined as:
- Serum IgG < 3.5 g/dl
- Serum IgA < 2.0 g/dl
- Urine monoclonal kappa or lambda < 1.0 g/24 hours

Smoldering or Indolent Myeloma

DIAGNOSTIC CRITERIA: ALL 3 REQUIRED

- 1 Monoclonal protein present in the serum and/or urine
- 2 Monoclonal plasma cells present in the bone marrow and/or a tissue biopsy
- 3 Not meeting criteria for MGUS, multiple myeloma, or solitary plasmacytoma of bone

NOTE: THESE CRITERIA IDENTIFY STAGE IA MYELOMA BY DURIE/SALMON STAGE.

Solitary Plasmacytoma of bone

DIAGNOSTIC CRITERIA: ALL 3 REQUIRED

- 1. Biopsy proven monoclonal plasmacytoma of bone in a single site only. X-rays and MRI and/or FDG PET imaging (if done) must be negative outside the primary site. The primary lesion may be associated with a low* serum and/or urine M-component.
- 2. The bone marrow contains < 10% monoclonal plasma cells.
- 3. No other myeloma related organ dysfunction.

- * Low is defined as:
- Serum IgG < 3.5 g/dl
- Serum IgA < 2.0 g/dl
- Urine monoclonal kappa or lambda < 1.0 g/24 hours

Required Testing for Possible Myeloma

- ➤ History and physical examination
- Complete blood count with differential and peripheral blood smear review
- > Chemistry panel including calcium and creatinine
- > Serum protein electrophoresis, immunofixation
- > Nephelometric quantitation of immunoglobulins
- ➤ Routine urinalysis, 24-hour urine collection for electrophoresis and immunofixation. Quantification of both urine M-component level and albuminuria.
- ➤ Bone marrow aspirate and trephine biopsy (cytogenetics, immunophenotyping, and plasma cell labeling index, if available)
- ➤ Bone survey including spine, pelvis, skull, humeri, and femurs. MRI of the axial skeleton is very informative if available/feasible but is not required. Whole body FDG/PET imaging is also not required, but can be used to confirm MGUS or exclude unsuspected and/or extramedullary myeloma, infection and/or an associated second malignancy.
- \triangleright β_2 microglobulin, C-reactive protein, and lactate dehydrogenase
- ➤ Measurement of free monoclonal light chains is an option if conventional M-component quantitation is negative or equivocal.

DURIE AND SALMON STAGING SYSTEM

Criteria

Measured myeloma cell mass (myeloma cells in billions/m²)*

Stage I (low cell mass)

600 billion

All of the following:

- ➤ Hemoglobin value > 10 g/dl
- ➤ Serum calcium value normal or < 10.5 mg/dl
- ➤ Bone x-ray, normal bone structure (scale 0), or solitary bone plasmacytoma only
- ➤ Low M-component production rates:

IgG value < 5.0 g/dl

IgA value < 3.0 g/dl

Urine light chain M-component on electrophoresis < 4 g/24h

Stage II (intermediate cell mass)

600 to 1,200 billion

Fitting neither stage I nor stage III.

Stage III (high cell mass)

> 1,200 billion

One or more of the following:

- ➤ Hemoglobin value < 8.5 g/dl
- ➤ Serum calcium value > 12 mg/dl
- ➤ Advanced lytic bone lesions (scale 3)
- ➤ High M-component production rates

IgG value > 7.0 g/dl

IgA value > 5.0 g/dl

Urine light chain M-component on electrophoresis > 12 g/24h

Sub classification (either A or B)

- ➤ A: relatively normal renal function (serum creatinine value) < 2.0 mg/dl
- ➤ B: abnormal renal function (serum creatinine value) > 2.0 mg/dl

Examples: Stage IA (low cell mass with normal renal function)
Stage IIIB (high cell mass with abnormal renal function)

* Myeloma cells in the whole body

Durie/Salmon PLUS Staging System**

CLASSIFICATION	PLUS	NEW IMAGING MRI AND/OR FDG PET
> MGUS (Table 2)		ALL NEGATIVE
> Stage IA* (Smoldering or indolent) (Table 3)		CAN HAVE SINGLE PLASMACYTOMA AND/OR LIMITED DISEASE ON IMAGING
> MULTIPLE MYELOMA STAGES IB*, IIA/B*, IIIA/B* (Table 1) Stage I B*		• < 5 FOCAL LESIONS;
G		MILD DIFFUSE DISEASE
Stage II A/B*		• 5-20 FOCAL LESIONS; MODERATE DIFFUSE DISEASE
Stage III A/B*		• > 20 FOCAL LESIONS; SEVERE DIFFUSE DISEASE

- * A serum creatinine < 2.0 mg/dl ***
 - no extramedullary disease (EMD)
- * B serum creatinine \geq 2.0 mg/dl ***
 - extramedullary disease (EMD)
- ** See:
- 1 Bauer et al. Magnetic Resonance Imaging as a Supplement for the Clinical Staging System of Durie and Salmon? *Cancer*. Vol. 95, No. 6, September 15, 2002; 1334-1345.
- 2 Durie et al. Whole-body F-FDG PET identifies high-risk myeloma. J Nucl Med. Vol. 43, 2002; 1457-1463.
- Greipp et al. Development of an International Prognostic Index (IPI) for Myeloma: Report of the International Myeloma Working Group. *Haematol J.* In press, 2003.

*** If there is a need to maximize identification of poor risk in subcategory B, additional parameters are: platelets < 130,000/mm³, and /or LDH above normal (3).

SERUM β_2 MICROGLOBULIN (β_2 M) AND SERUM ALBUMIN (S. Alb) STAGING

SWOG STAGING SYSTEM* SYSTEM**		PROPOSED IPI	
Stage I	$\beta_2 M < 2.5 \ mg/dl$	$\beta_2 M < 3.5$; S. Alb ≥ 3.5	
Stage II	$\beta_2 M \geq 2.5 < 5.5 \ mg/dl$	$\beta_2 M < 3.5$; S. Alb < 3.5 or $\beta_2 M 3.5 - 5.5$	
Stage III	$\beta_2 M \ge 5.5 \text{ mg/dl}$ S. Alb $\ge 3.0 \text{ g/dl}$	$\beta_2 M \geq 5.5$	
Stage IV	$\beta_2 M \ge 5.5 \text{ mg/dl}$ S. Alb $< 3.0 \text{ g/dl}$	NO STAGE IV	

^{*} Jacobson J et al. A new staging system for multiple myeloma patients based on the Southwest Oncology Group (SWOG) experience. *Br J Haemat*. 2003; In Press.

^{**} Greipp RR et al. Development of an International Prognostic Index (IPI) for Myeloma: Report of the International Myeloma Working Group. $Haematol\ J$. In press, 2003.

RECOGNIZED PROGNOSTIC FACTORS

Factor Significance

Clinical

Age Younger – better Performance status Low levels – poor

Routine Laboratory Testing

 $\begin{array}{lll} Beta_2\, microglobulin & Higher-poor \\ Serum \, albumin & Lower-poor \\ Serum \, creatinine & Elevated-poor \\ LDH \, level & Elevated-poor \\ C-reactive \, protein & Elevated-poor \\ Hemoglobin & Low-poor \\ Platelet \, count & Low-poor \\ \end{array}$

Specialized Tests

Plasma cell labeling index High – poor

Plasma cell morphology Plasmablastic – poor

Bone marrow cytogenetics

Standard cytogenetics Hypodiploidy/deletion 13 – poor

FISH analysis (Chromosome 13)

Microarray techniques

Whole body FDG/PET scan

13 deletion – poor

Differential patterns

Extramedullary – poor

FRONTLINE THERAPY OPTIONS

	Options	Comments
1.	Melphalan/Prednisone	Still an option, especially for elderly patients
2.	Cytoxan alone or in combination	Can be useful alone or in combination with less stem cell injury than melphalan
3.	Alkylating agent combinations	Really only an option if stem cell transplant is not planned
4.	VAD regimen	Still a major frontline approach; all can have significant disadvantages
5.	Dexamethasone or other steroids alone	A valid option, especially with renal insufficiency and/or reduced blood count values
6.	Thalidomide plus dexamethasone	A new oral option worthy of consideration but without a long track record

VAD AND SIMILAR ALTERNATE REGIMENS

Regimen	Drugs
VAD	Vincristine 0.4 mg/day 24 h infusion, days 1-4 Doxorubicin (Adriamycin) 9 mg/m²/day 24 h infusion, days 1-4* Dexamethasone 40 mg/day i.v./p.o., days 1-4, 9-12, 17-20 (35-day cycle)
VAMP	Vincristine 0.4 mg/day 24 h infusion, days 1-4 Doxorubicin 9 mg/m²/day 24 h infusion, days 1-4 Methylprednisolone 1 g i.v./p.o., days 1-5
C-VAMP	Cyclophosphamide 500 mg i.v, days 1,8,15 Vincristine 0.4 mg/day 24 h infusion, days 1-4 Doxorubicin 9 mg/m²/day 24 h infusion, days 1-4 Methylprednisolone 1 g i.v./p.o., days 1-5
DVD	Liposomal doxorubicin (DOXIL TM) 40 mg/m ² i.v., day 1 Vincristine 2 mg i.v., day 1 Dexamethasone 40 mg/day i.v./p.o., days 1-4
Z-Dex	Idarubicin (Zavedos) 10 mg/m²/day p.o., days 1-4 Dexamethasone 40 mg/day i.v./p.o., days 1-4
MOD	Mitoxantrone 9 mg/m²/day 24 h infusion, days 1-4 Vincristine (Oncovin) 0.4 mg/day 24 h infusion, days 1-4 Dexamethasone 40 mg/day i.v./p.o., days 1-4, 9-12, 17-20, (35-day cycle)

Segeren CM et al. Brit. J. Haematol. 1999; Vol. 105, 127-130.

^{*} An alternate regimen with equivalent efficacy gives the Doxorubicin (Adriamycin) as 9 $\,$ mg/m² each day for 4 days by rapid intravenous infusion.

STEROID DOSAGES AND SCHEDULES

Steroid	Dosage	Schedules
Dexamethasone (Decadron®)	 40 mg or 20mg/m² (see table 10) Often reduced to 20-10 mg range because of toxicity 	 4-day oral pulse repeated q 4-10 days, reduced to q month or less frequent as maintenance 1 day oral pulse q week also used
Methylprednisolone (Medrol®)	 213 mg of Medrol equivalent to 40 mg Decadron The usual dose is 1 g i.v. (e.g., for VAMP [Table 10]) Oral schedules of 64 mg q.o.d. and 96 mg p.o. q week one also used. 	 5-day i.v. pulse as part of VAMP 1-day i.v. pulse q week or less often as maintenance Oral maintenance q.o.d. or weekly
Prednisone	 270 mg prednisone is equivalent to 40mg of Decadron However, typical dose of prednisone is 60 mg/m² or 100 mg 	 4 or 5-day oral pulses with MP/VMCP/VBAP, etc. 50 mg p.o. 3 times/week is typical maintenance; dosage reduction often required

RELAPSE REGIMENS

DCEP Dexamethasone 40 mg/day p.o., days 1-4

Cytoxan (cyclophophamide) 750 mg/day, days 1-4 (CI)

Etoposide (VP-16) 75 mg/day, days 1-4 (CI) Platinol (cisplatinum) 25mg/day, days 1-4 (CI)

CI = Continuous Intravenous Infusion

G-CSF 300mg/day until granulocyte recovery

Munshi NC et al. *Blood*. 1996; 88 (Suppl.) 586a, Abstract No. 2331.

DT-PACE Dexamethasone 40mg/day p.o., days 1-4

Thalidomide 400mg/day p.o., ongoing daily Platinol (cisplatinum) 10mg/m²/day, days 1-4 (CI)

Adriamycin 10mg/m²/day, days 1-4 (CI)

Cytoxan (cyclophosphamide) 400mg/m²/day, days 1-4 (CI)

Etoposide (VP-16) 40mg/m²/day, days 1-4 (CI)

CI = Continuous Intravenous Infusion

G-CSF 300mg/day until granulocyte recovery

Munshi NC et al. *Blood*. 1999; 94 (Suppl.) 123a, Abstract No. 540.

BLT-D (Modified)

Biaxin (clarithromycin) 500mg xr/day p.o. or 250mg/day b.i.d.

Low Dose Thalidomide 50 mg hs/day p.o. **D**examethasone 40mg/day p.o. q.d., 4 days,

then 40mg p.o. q.d. for 1 day/week.

DOSE ADJUSTMENTS are as follows: **Biaxin**, reduce to 250 mg qd or 500 mg qd every other week if necessary; **Thalidomide**, increase daily (hs) dose to a maximum of 200 mg qd if necessary to achieve response; **Dexamethasone**, additional 4 day pulses of dexamethasone used as needed to achieve response. Dexamethasone dose reduced as necessary for tolerance (e.g. 20 - 40 mg/day range).

Durie BGM. *Haematol J.* In press, 2003.

BISPHOSPHONATE THERAPY

- **Recommended for**
 - All myeloma-related bone disease*
- ➤ Aredia®, Zometa®, and Clodronate® (or Bonefos®) are all beneficial in myeloma**
- **Choice of bisphosphonate** determined by
 - IV infusion time
 - Oral tolerance
 - Potential anti-myeloma effects
 - Toxicities
 - Patient preference
 - Costs (individual/health authority)

*This includes patients with multiple plasmacytomata of bone and/or other situations in which active bone destruction is occurring. Therapy is not recommended for MGUS (Table 2).

- Active myeloma patients **without** manifest bone disease are at risk of bone disease because of the potential for undetected bone disease and the osteopenic effects of ongoing steroid use.
- Such patients can be considered for ongoing bisphosphonate therapy, however again the ASCO guidelines do not incorporate these patients.

^{**}Other bisphosphonates such as Fosamax® and Actonel® are available but have not been specifically evaluated in myeloma.

BISPHOSPHONATE MONITORING

- Renal toxicity is a concern with all i.v. bisphosphonates, especially with chronic administration over many years (e.g. \geq 2 years).
- Serum creatinine must be measured before each administration of i.v. bisphosphonate. An increase of ≥ 0.5 mg/dl may require dose/schedule adjustments.*
- ➤ **Periodic urine protein measurement** (24 hr) is required (e.g. q 3-6 months) with chronic administration, especially with Aredia @ > 2 years of use.**
- **Longer infusion time** is the best protection against potential toxicity, e.g.
 - **Aredia** increase time of 90 mg infusion to 4 hrs
 - **Zometa** increase time of 4 mg infusion to 45 minutes

Dosage reduction, additional hydration, and less frequent administration are further options.

- > Important risk factors for renal toxicity are:
 - Age (>65)
 - Sex (female)
 - Prior renal dysfunction (especially s. creatine $\geq 2 \text{mg/dl}$)
 - Underlying disease e.g. hypertension/diabetes
 - Concomitant drugs e.g. NSAIDs/thalidomide/other nephrotoxins (see Table 16)
 - Bence Jones proteinuria

^{*}Renal evaluation is required before proceeding with further doses (93).

^{**}Significant "non Bence Jones" proteinuria (albuminuria) can occur, requiring renal evaluation (94).

USE OF ERYTHROPOIETIN

• Indicated for:

- ➤ Anemia with hemoglobin < 10 g/dl
- ➤ Progressive or symptomatic anemia with hemoglobin 10-12 g/dl

• Exclusions:

- > Other causes of anemia, especially iron deficiency
- ➤ Iron supplements should be used as necessary

• Myeloma treatment

Since successful myeloma treatment usually improves anemia, erythropoietin therapy should be adjusted post therapy.

Loading dosage*

- ➤ 40,000 IU sq is standard dose
 - Can continue as weekly dose
 - Can escalate to 60,000 units if no response after 4 weeks and/or no iron deficiency
 - Titrate to hemoglobin of 12 g/dl
 - Stop if hemoglobin > 14 g/dl

• 3 Times/week dosing

- ➤ 10,000 IU sq 3 times/week is an alternate schedule
- ➤ Can escalate to 20,000 IU if no response after 4 weeks and/or no iron deficiency
- > Titration and stopping as above

^{*} This is for the unmodified recombinant products (e.g. PROCRIT®; EPOGEN®). Standard q 2-3 week schedules are used for the longer acting darbopoietin alfa (NeoRecormon®, Aranesp®).

FACTORS AFFECTING RENAL FUNCTION

> Myeloma protein

- **Serum M-component** especially IgD/A.
- Light chains

Glomerular light chain deposition, especially kappa Tubular casts or amyloidosis, especially lambda

> Metabolic

- Calcium
- Uric acid
- Volume depletion

> Drugs/Toxins

- Non Steroidal Anti Inflammatory Drugs (NSAIDs)
- Intravenous contrast (dyes)
- Anti-microbial therapy, e.g., aminoglycosides, Vancomycin, Ampho. B, Acyclovir
- Other drugs e.g. high-dose chemotherapy (platinum/cyclophosphamide), ACE inhibitors, diuretics, bisphosphonates (Aredia/Zometa), cyclosporine
- Therapeutic radiation, e.g., with stem cell transplant

➤ Non-myeloma renal factors

- Glomerulonephritis
- Diabetes mellitus
- Hypertension
- Renal cysts
- Veno-occlusive disease
- Graft versus host disease

NOVEL THERAPIES

• Phase II-III level

- > Thalidomide
- ightharpoonup Thalidomide analogs Revimid TM/Actimid TM
- ➤ VELCADE™
- ➤ Arsenic Trioxide (TrisenoxTM)
- ➤ Genasense BCl-2 antibody

• Agents in earlier development	Description
Farnesyl transferase inhibitors (FTIs)	FTIs induce apoptosis of myeloma cells in the lab and prevent their growth in response to IL-6. Clinical studies have been rather disappointing thus far.
Histone deacetylase (HDAC) inhibitors	These agents, such as SAHA and LAQ842 (Novartis), induce apoptosis of myeloma cells in the lab; LAQ842 has also shown significant activity in a mouse model of myeloma. No clinical studies.
Heat shock protein (Hsp) inhibitors	Hsps promote cell survival and growth. 17-AAG, an Hsp inhibitor, prolongs survival in a mouse model of myeloma. Phase II trials in myeloma are planned.
Insulin-like growth factor (IGF) receptor inhibitors	IGFs stimulate growth of myeloma cells and protect them from apoptosis. Inhibitors of the IGF receptor, such as ADW (Novartis), block these actions.
(LPAAT)-b inhibitors	These agents induce apoptosis of myeloma cells. Several agents in this class developed by Cell Therapeutics are being investigated.

NEW TECHNOLOGIES

- Gene array technology
- Serum Freelite test (9)
- Whole body FDG/PET scanning (15)
- Snarecoil bone marrow biopsy needle

TABLE 20

Response Rates: Phase II Trial of RevimidTM **Alone**

Dose	# Patients	Response (Bladé criteria[106])		
	# Patients	CR P	PR	MR
15 mg 2x daily	23	0	22%	43%
30 mg once daily	23	9%	13%	22%
Both doses	46	4%	17%	33%

Overall Response 54% (both doses; combining CR, PR and MR)

Response to VELCADE TM Alone in the SUMMIT Trial †

Response (Bladé criteria [106])	Percent Patients
Complete Response (IF neg)	4%
Near Complete Response (IF pos)*	6%
Partial Response	17%
Minimal Response	8%
Stable disease	24%

35%

Overall Response

† CR, PR, MR and SD determined by an Independent Review Committee according to criteria defined by Blade et al (106). Subsequent to their review, a subset of patients with PR who met all criteria for CR except a positive immunofixation test was redefined as "near-CR," as this was felt to represent a clinically meaningful subgroup.

^{*} M-protein not measurable, but still detectable by immunofixation

$\textbf{Recent Trisenox}^{\textbf{TM}} \textbf{ Trials in Myeloma}$

Investigator	Regimen	Objective Response*	Stable Disease
Hussein	$Trisenox^{TM} + Vitamin \ C$	10/21 (48%)	8/21 (38%)
Lee	Trisenox TM	2/6 (33%)	4/6 (67%)
Berenson	Trisenox TM	2/7 (29%)	1/7 (14%)
Berenson	$Trisenox^{TM} + Vitamin C + Chemo$	4/6 (67%)	1/6 (17%)

^{*} Exact levels and durations of response not yet determined.

FIGURE 1

FRONTLINE THERAPY

